EXPEDITE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Dakota

JAMES GERMALIC *Plaintiff(s)* *(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)* **-v-** Prairie Public Television *Defendant(s)* *(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)*	Case No. ________ *(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)*

COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	James Germalic
Street Address	The Black/White Party Hdqtrs.
City and County	429 Main St. #5
State and Zip Code	La Crosse, WI 54601
Telephone Number	(216) 269-2390
E-mail Address	

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title *(if known)*. Attach additional pages if needed.

Defendant No. 1

Name	Prairie Public Television
Job or Title *(if known)*	
Street Address	207 N. 5th St.
City and County	P.O. Box 3240
State and Zip Code	Fargo ND 58108
Telephone Number	(701) 241-6900
E-mail Address *(if known)*	

Defendant No. 2

Name	AARP - AM. ASS OF RETIRED PERSONS
Job or Title *(if known)*	
Street Address	North Dakota State Office
City and County	Suite 125
State and Zip Code	107 W. Main Ave.
Telephone Number	Bismarck, ND 58501
E-mail Address *(if known)*	

Defendant No. 3

Name	
Job or Title *(if known)*	
Street Address	
City and County	
State and Zip Code	
Telephone Number	
E-mail Address *(if known)*	

Defendant No. 4

Name	
Job or Title *(if known)*	
Street Address	
City and County	
State and Zip Code	
Telephone Number	
E-mail Address *(if known)*	

II. Basis for Jurisdiction

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation and the amount at stake is more than $75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal court jurisdiction? *(check all that apply)*

☒ Federal question ☐ Diversity of citizenship

Fill out the paragraphs in this section that apply to this case.

A. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is a Federal Question

List the specific federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or provisions of the United States Constitution that are at issue in this case.

14th Amendment - Equal Protection Under the Law

B. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship

1. The Plaintiff(s)

a. If the plaintiff is an individual

The plaintiff, *(name)* ______________________, is a citizen of the State of *(name)* ______________________.

b. If the plaintiff is a corporation

The plaintiff, *(name)* ______________________, is incorporated under the laws of the State of *(name)* ______________________, and has its principal place of business in the State of *(name)* ______________________.

(If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.)

2. The Defendant(s)

a. If the defendant is an individual

The defendant, *(name)* ______________________, is a citizen of the State of *(name)* ______________________. Or is a citizen of *(foreign nation)* ______________________.

b. If the defendant is a corporation

The defendant, *(name)* ______________________, is incorporated under the laws of the State of *(name)* ______________________, and has its principal place of business in the State of *(name)* ______________________.
Or is incorporated under the laws of *(foreign nation)* ______________________, and has its principal place of business in *(name)* ______________________.

(If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.)

3. The Amount in Controversy

The amount in controversy-the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake-is more than $75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because *(explain)*:

I am asking to be put on the debate as I am a candidate for U.S. Senate. If I am not allowed on the debate I am asking for $350,000 to compensate me for running a campaign without necessary and just media coverage through participation in the debates.

III. Statement of Claim

Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the injunction or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

A. Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

See write-up

B. What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

" "

C. What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? *(For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?)*

See write-up

IV. Irreparable Injury

Explain why monetary damages at a later time would not adequately compensate you for the injuries you sustained, are sustaining, or will sustain as a result of the events described above, or why such compensation could not be measured.

See write-up

V. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

See write-up

VI. Certification and Closing

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

A. For Parties Without an Attorney

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: 10/6/16

Signature of Plaintiff: James Germalic

Printed Name of Plaintiff: James Germalic

B. For Attorneys

Date of signing: ____________

Signature of Attorney ____________

Printed Name of Attorney ____________

Bar Number ____________

Name of Law Firm ____________

Street Address ____________

State and Zip Code ____________

Telephone Number ____________

E-mail Address ____________

Very seldom can the Court get such a clear example of the evil of two people and the system they uphold--Matthew Olien, Producer of Prairie Public Television, and Josh Askvig, the Director of the American Association of Retired Persons, who are responsible for deciding who can be on the debates sponsored by AARP and held on Public Television. Both, filled with a sense of their own importance, have shut out a voice that God--Jesus--wants heard.

On Monday, October 3, 2016, Nori Kalom on behalf of me (James Germalic, Independent candidate for U.S. Senate) spoke to Matt Olien and I, James Germalic, spoke to Josh of AARP. We both had to pray all night at the Shrine of Our Lady of Guadalupe to endure their arrogance and lies. Jesus so made our character that injustice effects us emotionally and only by being fortified by a whole night of prayer could we endure it.

I was first in the nation among 3rd party and Independents in 2002 in Oklahoma yet Matthew Olien set the standard so high in 2006 when I was on the ballot for U.S. Senate in North Dakota (10% of the vote--I had gotten 6%) that he eliminated me. But by eliminating me he eliminated everybody in the country since everybody that ran 3rd party or as an Independent that year got less votes than me (65,000 votes). Now he says he has lowered the bar to 5-7% because of Jack Seamon (L).

Because Olien set the bar at 10% he eliminated me from the debate in 2006--it was the worst most boring debate I ever saw. It was a knock-out blow to my candidacy and I didn't get to speak out about the Sudan where a war was raging at the time. In an agricultural state they may have been able to do something about the starvation and suffering and saved lives.

Olien caused my poor showing in 2006 by keeping me off the debate and now turns around and uses that against me to keep me off the debate in 2016! Now he says he has lowered the bar to include a candidate that got 6% of the vote (Jack Seamon) so he can include the Libertarian, yet even though I got 6% both in Oklahoma in 2002 and 6% of the vote running for Congress in North Dakota in 1994, it doesn't count, for he is finding a new way to eliminate me.

The point I want to make here is that the voters of North Dakota put me on the ballot, and they are the ones who he should be serving in showing them the candidates side by side so they can choose for themselves who they want to vote for. It's not his call. The rules he is following were made by Democrats and Republicans who want to keep the competition out. This is not democracy and it has to end.

He was not elected to make these choices and the people do not know that he is making them. All they see is who he puts in front of them. They do not know he is eliminating a candidate and that candidate has every right as anyone else who is on the ballot to be heard.

Nori asked Matt Olien when the debate was being held for the U.S. Senate candidates. At first she talked to a woman who answered the phone at Prairie Public T.V. Nori asked when the debate was being held, since it is advertised as being broadcast on October 28, 2016. She wanted to know if it was live on October 28, or just being broadcast and was held at another time. The lady would not give her the information about when it was being held live and then put her on the line with Matt Olien, the producer of Prairie Public Television. Mr. Olien said the debate was being held live on October 13, Thursday. Nori asked at what time it was being held and Mr. Olien wouldn't say. Then Nori said, because Mr. Olien asked why she wanted to know, that the Independent candidate was not being included in the debate. Mr. Olien did not seem to know about the Independent candidate and asked who it was. Nori told him it was James Germalic. Mr. Olien said something about having to get 6% of the vote (5-7%) and Nori said he got 6% of the vote in Oklahoma. Then Mr. Olien said well, it was because it was Oklahoma. So Nori said James got 6% of the vote in North Dakota in 1994. Mr. Olien said he would have to look into it, but maintained that he was including the Libertarian candidate and Nori could vote for Mr. Germalic if she wanted to. Nori said that people have a right to hear what the Independent candidate has to say and to hear him alongside the other candidates. That no one knows about him and he has a right to appear in the debate with the other candidates. Mr. Olien seemed to maintain that the way he was conducting the debate was between the Democrat, the Republican, and the Libertarian and the Independent could fend for himself. He expressed no responsiblity to allow him to participate in the debate. He said he was the moderator of the debate.

Nori had also called AARP because they are the sponsors of the debate. The man who is in charge of the debates called back. James answered the phone and Josh Askvig maintained you had to have certain polling percentages before you were allowed in the debate. He, too, felt no responsibility to allow the public to hear the Independent candidate and what he had to say. He totally placed his decision on the basis of polls and percentages--10% approval. He acknowledged nothing about the people of North Dakota having a right to hear the candidate's positions and allowing them to make the decision whether he is a worthy candidate or not.

So in both cases, these two people who are responsible for who is on the debate for U.S. Senate have clearly stated that the Independent candidate will not be featured as one of the candidates and will not be included in the debate while the other candidates--the Democrat, Republican, and Libertarian are.

This injunction is being filed to stop the debate so the Independent candidate is included. It (the debate) should not go on unless all the candidates can be heard.

Both AARP and Prairie Public Television have the power to include all candidates in the debates. By keeping the Independent off the debates it does irreparable harm to the candidate, for he has no way of competing on an equal scale with the other candidates. AARP and Prairie Public Television are causing a disparity between the Independent candidate and the other candidates that does not have to exist. If Prairie Public Television and AARP allowed James Germalic to be on the debates and the people didn't vote for him that would be fair game, for he was heard and the people decided. But this way Prairie and AARP are deciding for the people as there is no other way for the Independent to be heard and to be known.

If he is given an equal chance it is on him to make the grade and be someone the voters want, but if Prairie and AARP don't allow him on the debate they are making up the mind of the people for them, since they don't even know he exists.

They have the power to expose this candidate to public disgression or to deprive him of being known by the public and they have chosen to not allow him to be known. So his loss is their fault and that is irreparable harm.

I, James Germalic, fully expected Matthew Olien to say that my poor performance in 2006 was the cause of why I wasn't being allowed on the 2016 debate--(poor performance, due to Public T.V. denying me any exposure by not allowing me on the debate in 2006.) Who can vote for a person they don't know about?

Yet, due to Divine Providence, on Saint Francis's Feast Day, October 4, the

Saint of Peace

Russia broke off diplomatic relations with the U.S. Just as I should have been on the debate in 2006 to bring out the war going on in the Sudan, which the Republican and Democrat didn't do, I need to be on the debate in 2016 to give my message on a . . .

. . . world war

which the Republican and Democrat and Libertarian . . .

can't do.

The message I have is this:

Sixteen years ago I had this vision in a dream. I was in Scotland at a university and this young student around 22 said twice with a worried look on his face:

. . . There is going to be a world war!

Then in the dream there was a vision of the western part of Russia.

So who has more of a right to be heard than I who have a message from the Lord about a possible world war and the break of relations with Russia says it's happening right now!

Can you imagine that this Josh from AARP says . . .

polls!

This Josh, a spokesman for AARP says I'd have to get 10% in the polls.

One thousand people validated by the Secretary of State of North Dakota say that I am on the ballot. These 1000 people want to hear what I have to say. The law says that these 1000 people make me a viable candidate.

But Matthew Olien and Josh of AARP got no signatures, received no votes from

We, the People

but I have fulfilled the law and gotten 1000 signatures from

We, the People!

In a joint action by a group of people who do not necessarily share my values against abortion, against same-sex marriage, against Obamacare whose first principle is euthanasia with their death panels not under judicial or congressional review, against legalization of marijuana and the proliferation of casinos--nonetheless they see the injustice of the two-party system how they--the Republicans and Democrats--have unfair advantage and I add: led to the worst of the worst Presidential candidates where there is no lesser of two evils--they're both evil--Trump and Hillary. A suit taken out by Level the Playing Field; Peter Ackerman, Green Party of the United States and Libertarian National Committee against the Federal Election Commission in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, Case 1:15-cv-01397 filed 08/27/15 entitled Complaint for Declarative and Injunctive Relief, p.4, states, and I quote . . .

> "In their administrative complaint, Plaintiffs, presented new empirical analysis by prominent experts in statistics, public opinion, and political strategy showing that the CPD (Commission on Presidential Debates) key criterion requiring a candidate to poll 15% in an average of five national polls taken in mid September--is biased against independent and third party candidates. This analysis convincingly shows that it's impossible, as a practical matter, for any candidate who does not run in the Democratic or Republican primaries to satisfy the CPD's 15% rule. Among other obstacles for example, it would cost nearly $270 million for an independent candidate to achieve the 60-80% national name recognition necessary to satisfy this polling requirement."

So it's crystal clear that Matt Olien, who gave a criteria in terms of voting--5-7% vote total in a previous run for office--and Josh (AARP) who gave a criteria in terms of polls--10%--that neither of these are obtainable by a candidate without public (media) exposure.

Using Legel Playing Field's figure of 270 million that it would take to gain nationwide recognition, dividing $270 million by 50 (since I am running in one state, not for President and in all the states) it would cost $5,500,000 to gain such name recognition. Rounding it out to $5 million for a 15% polling number, it would then take roughly 1.7 million less, or 3.3 million to gain such name recognition as to get a 10% approval rating in the polls.

So to make up for Matt Olien (Prairie Public Television) total unjust barring me from the 2006 debate, Matt using that low 2006 vote count to then bar me from the 2016 debate, I'd need 3.3 million out my pocket to finance a campaign to get a 10% polling number. Or in contributions, but contributions come from exposure.

I get $580.00 per month Social Security. Out of that I pay $200.00 for my pro-life office in La Crosse, Wisconsin and $70 each month for my house taxes. This is how irrational Josh from AARP is. With $330.00 I have when I need 3.3 million to receive the 10% polling numbers Josh said is the criteria for me to be on the Public debate.

That's why I say with all seriousness, with no frivolity, with absolute honesty, that Matt Olien and Josh from AARP have made it that a Lincoln in 2016 (Lincoln had $10,000 for his campaign) could not win. They have made it so only Trump, the richest man in America, is the only one outside the Republican and Democratic Parties that can compete and win. Josh and Matt are entirely responsible for Trump. They have taken a medium--T.V.--that the Founding Fathers in the U.S. Constitution did not foresee and made it the absolute controller of the electoral process, destroying the electoral process and democracy.

NEITHER VOTES GOTTEN IN A PREVIOUS ELECTION NOR POLLS ARE A CRITERIA TO KEEP A CANDIDATE OUT OF A DEBATE. I HAVE A RIGHT TO BE IN THE DEBATE BY WHAT THE LAW REQUIRES: 1000 signatures by qualified electors in the state of North Dakota!

I have never asked the Courts to award me damages in my whole life. Always thinking of God (Jesus) interests and the interest of the country. But this crime is so heinous that someone must pay for it. I want the fear of God put in these Defendants so no one will ever deny anyone ballot access again.

I ask for the most damages ever awarded in a civil case in any proceeding in any Court ever since the founding of the Republic in 1776!

All I have to do is ask the Judge or judges this question:

Do you see what is outside Manhattan in the water: the Statue of Liberty!

When George Washington, Thomas Jefferson, John Adams, Ben Franklin and John Hancock signed the Declaration of Independence they pledged their lives, their fortunes and sacred honor. It is said that if captured they would have been sent to England and hung for treason!

Those of us like me who believe in what the Founding Fathers risked their lives for and tried to put into practice--believing in liberty, democracy, and the chance every man should have to run for political office--how is it that these non-elected people--Matt Olien producer of Prairie Public Television, and Josh Askvig, representing AARP, who represent corporate interests, how is it that their voice is more important than the 1000 people who signed my petition and put me on the ballot. Especially in a year by a CNN poll that said 80% of the people are facing the limited choices of Trump and Hillary with these three feelings:

"frustration, disgust, and fear!"

In this year, 2016, like no other year since the Republic was started, these two bastards (bastard is a scriptural term) can take responsibility for the state of affairs we are in, for they have never let other candidates compete and have maintained this two-party system that is imploding with the two worst candidates that actually outdo eachother in terms of criminality. More damage could not have been done than what they are doing by maintaining this sytem that is becoming abhorrent to the American people.

Matt Olien and Josh Askvig have made wards out of the whole state of North Dakota. They have not let them excercise their freedom in making a choice between the Republican, the Democrat, the Libertarian and the Independent.

They have removed the fundamental freedom which makes a distinction between a benevolent dictator and a democracy. There is a film about the Mexican leader Juarez, whose hero was Abraham Lincoln, and how he dealt with Maximillian, who was placed on the "throne" of Mexico by the King of France. Maximillian is a benevolent dictator, a monarch. He has a son who he is grooming to take his place. Maximillian's goals are the same as Juarez's--the welfare of the people of Mexico. He says to Juarez if he doesn't fight him he will make Juarez Prime Minister and they will work together for the benefit of Mexico.

Juarez says there is one word that separates us and that is the word democracy! In a democracy you can kick the bums out! In a benevolent monarchy if things turn sour you are stuck with them! Juarez decides to fight Maximillian and he wins.

I hope I have shown the judge what seems to be a small distinction--one word--democracy--is not a small distinction at all. We have a (benevolent?) dictatorship in our country--the dictatorship of the two-party system. And anybody who is not in it cannot win!

I am not asking for any advantage. I am only asking for equality. That I may be able to compete like any other candidate and be seen next to the other candidates and judged on my merit. This is not so strange a request to make. This is what our country purports to be about, but is not! It's time, before it's too late, to make this country what it is supposed to be.

The Democratic candidate believes in 100 million abortions; same-sex marriage and Obamacare whose first principle is euthanasia. With its death panels not under judicial or congressional review.

The Libertarian believes that prostitution and drugs should be legalized. In other words, let people be free to do what they want. Don't try to stop evil.

So I am not just putting out alot of words. If anyone ever had a compelling case, it's me. That like that one word--democracy--which made all the difference in the world, I am saying you have three immoral candidates:

(1) The Democrat, who supports Bill Clinton who didn't intervene in Rwanda and let 1,000,000 Rwandans be massacred when a small American force could have stopped it.

(2) The Republican, who, against his party's platform said he supports same-sex marriage and would protect the LBGT community and whose business dealings show him to be a shady character who has always put himself first.

(3) A Libertarian whose party is represented by Gary Johnson who doesn't even know where Aleppo is and what its significance is, which is understandable, since the Libertarian Party does not even believe in intervening in the most dire situations militarily to prevent a bloodbath or to protect innocent men, women, and children.

If the arrogant ones, Matt Olien and Josh Askvig could assure me beforehand the Republican candidate for U.S. Senate would disown Trump, tell people not to vote for him and tell people what a truly horrible man he is (see New York Times article by Roger Cohen, "The Trump Possibility," Oct. 4, 2016, p. A21.) If the Republican will do that I will:

1) Work out a deal with Prairie Public and Matthew Olien to take a one-half hour where I'll tell the voters of North Dakota

a) Not to vote for Trump or Hillary

b) What a bad man Trump is

c) What a bad candidate Hillary is

So I have absolutely told the federal judge(s) the difference between me and the Democratic and Libertarian candidates: I stand for morality, they don't.

With the Republican candidate I'll tell the people of North Dakota this:

a) Trump supports Planned Parenthood, the people who sell baby body parts

b) He tells a story that reflects his belief system. He said you take 49 bullets and soak them in pig's blood and shoot 49 Muslims. Then take the last bullet, the 50th bullet, soak it in pig's blood and send it back with the last

Muslim to show his people what will happen to them. Will the Republican candidate tell the people of North Dakota this that Trump says. Or will he tell them what only I can say: That Trump will be the modern Nebuchadnezzar, the evilest king in the world, who will bring the whole Muslim world against a decadent Christianity that tolerates 100 million abortions; same-sex marriage; legalization of marijuana, and the proliferation of casinos. I get . . .

Prepare for holy war
Jer. 6:4

The Blessed Virgin said to Bernadette of Lourdes in the 1800's that there will be a war between Muslims and Christians after the year 2000 in which 5.6 million soldiers will die. But in the end, the Muslims will convert to Christianity.

600,000 died in the Civil War. All our wars--1812, the Revolutionary War, the First and Second World Wars, Korean War, Vietnam War, Iraq wars haven't added up to 600,000 dead. Yet, in the coming war between Muslims and Christians--that Trump could very well start, there will be 5.6 million troops killed.

Walking in the Dark!

Adulterous Trump lacks sanctifying grace--he is walking in darkness: a blind man. Due to his infidelities. In my opinion, so is the Wicked Woman, Hillary . . .

> Then a leaden cover was lifted, and there was a woman sitting inside the bushel. "This is Wickedness," he said;
> (Zech. 5:7,8)

. . . due to her many crimes. A Congressional Committee called her and Bill

The Arkansas Mafia.

You'd have to go back to Al Capone in Chicago to find so much criminality. These three sinners walking in the dark, Trump, Hillary and Bill are going to lead the nation.

Hillary voted for the Iraq war which brought in ISIS. The Iraq war was a direct result of Bill Clinton's idea of "regime change" which is a euphemism for getting rid of the leader of a country and putting in who you want. It didn't work in Iraq and now we have ISIS. In Egypt regime change led to the takeover of the elected government (Muslim Brotherhood who were on the side of terrorism) by a military dictator. In Libya Hillary approved of the assassination of Qaddify. Now ISIS controls much of the oil in Liby and there is absolute political chaos. Of course, Bill Clinton will take no credit for all these failures. He will never look back on his idea of regime change and disavow it. He will always brag about all the good he has done--that his Foundation helps people in Africa when, in fact, when he had the power as President to stop the slaughter of one million Tutsis in Rwanda he did nothing simply by not calling it genocide. Trump is right when he says Hillary made a mess out of the Mideast. But Hillary is right that Trump is a con artist.

These are the people who are running for President and by association, the Democrat and Republican running for Senate support. And the Libertarian is not much better. Yet, Matt Olien is committed to keeping me off the debates and out of the public's view.

Why even have a debate? They're not even going to give the citizens of North Dakota the opportunity to vote for the one person who stands for morality.

(1) Stop abortion

(2) Stop same-sex marriage--make it illegal

(3) repeal Obamacare

(4) intervene in Syria--stop the massacre

(5) No legalization of marijuana or prostitution

Because people like Matthew Olien and Josh of AARP have substituted for

We, the People

. . . We, the Media.

They have kept out legitimate candidates like me who have 1000 signatures of people saying they want me on the ballot. What good is it to be on the ballot if no one knows what I stand for. No one will vote for me.

This is why we have Trump and Hillary. This is why we don't have a 3rd party candidate in the national debates between Trump and Hillary.

Matthew Olien had the nerve to say to Nori, "You can vote for him (Germalic)!" She said to Olien, Yeah, I'm the only who will because no one else will know about him.

This is absolute arrogance for these people to have taken it upon themselves to make or break a candidate, all in the name of percentages and polls. Which mean nothing, for the people have to hear a candidate before they can vote for him or say anything about him in a poll!

I can say with absolute certainty, 100% certainty--that the Republican, Democratic, and Libertarian candidates are immoral candidates with this argument:

The Democratic, Republican, and Libertarian candidates are not running as individuals who act and speak their conscience. But the Independent, James Germalic, is. The Democratic, Republican, and Libertarian candidates are running as a member of a party and therefore they reflect the values, thoughts, and candidates who head the parties. There is a party platform, a party line. They are not free to take an independent stand and even if the Republican, Democrat, or Libertarian did take an independent stand not in line with Trump, Hillary, or Gary Johnson, they would be at the least a hypocrite in not severing their link to the party.

You can't be half in and half out. You can't be for half the truth.

The term is complicity. Each of them, the Republican candidate, the Democratic candidate, and the Libertarian candidate are complicit with Trump, Hillary, and Gary Johnson.

They have trained their feet to walk with evil. They can't be part of the Democrats, Republicans, and Libertarians and not share in the values, platform, doctrines that Trump, Hillary and Gary Johnson are promoting.

Either the Democratic, Republican, and Libertarian candidates totally disavow Hillary, Trump and Gary Johnson and stand apart and alone on their own two feet, severing their link, bond, membership with the Democratic, Republican, and Libertarian parties, or they're complicit in their sins: the 100 million abortions; same-sex marriage; Obamacare; not intervening in Rwanda to stop the genocide; not intervening top stop the 200,000-400,000 dead in Syria, not stopping the legalization of prostitution and drugs.

As I said, if the Republican, Democrat, and Libertarian will get on the debate and renounce the leaders of their parties--Trump, Hillary, and Gary Johnson and their sins, then I'll consent not to be on the debate and drop the lawsuit.

No, they're little men--the Democrat, the Republican, and the Libertarian candidates. They should refuse to be on the debate unless I am on it. They should see the injustice of keeping a legitimate candidate off the debate and demand I be included in the debate.

And the debate is put on by little men--Matt Olien and Josh Askvig .

Why by destroying the electoral process destroy democracy. Usurping the U.S. Constitution by making themselves with no empowerment or vote by the public into the final arbiters of who the public can or can't vote for. The result of their actions is to keep all evil in place. The 100 million abortions; same-sex marriage; Obamacare; the massacre in Syria; and the immoral legalization of marijuana and prostitution, and even keep casinos in place.

These people--Matthew Olien and Josh and the organizations they represent--Prairie Public Television and AARP--have done more damage to an individual--but also to the public who they claim to serve--because at this time in history there has never been a greater need for this country to break out of the stranglehold of the two-party system and to really give people a choice to VOTE FOR WHO THEY WANT TO VOTE FOR! Their fraud has come full circle. No one wants the candidates they represent. I petitioned in Ohio early this year, in District 8, southern Ohio, in Tennessee in late summer, in Nashville, and in North Dakota this fall and no one, or very few people, want Hillary or Trump. In fact, it is the people, not me, who say THEY CANNOT VOTE! They told it to me, I did not tell it to them. They felt relieved when I said I was running for office! They want an alternative!

So if Matthew Olien and Josh Askvig say they serve the public and old people, it's not true. They are serving a system that no longer works and that's all.

ALVIN A. JAEGER
SECRETARY OF STATE

HOME PAGE www.nd.gov/sos

PHONE (701) 328-2900
FAX (701) 328-2992

E-MAIL sos@nd.gov

SECRETARY OF STATE

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA
600 EAST BOULEVARD AVENUE DEPT 108
BISMARCK ND 58505-0500

September 7, 2016

James Germalic
5615 Alber Avenue
Palma OH 44129

Dear Mr. Germalic

This letter is to inform you that you have fulfilled the requirements of North Dakota law as set forth in Chapter 16.1-12 of the North Dakota Century Code.

That is, you have filed with the Secretary of State's office a Petition/Certificate of Nomination form containing the signatures of at least 1,000 qualified electors, an Affidavit of Candidacy, and a Statement of Interests form. And, that you submitted these documents for the November 8, 2016 General Election before the filing deadline of 4:00 p.m., Tuesday, September 6, 2016.

Therefore, the name **James Germalic** will appear as on the 2016 General Election ballot as an Independent candidate for the office of **US Senate**.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact the Elections Division of this office at (701) 328-4146 or (800) 352-0867, ext. 8-4146.

Sincerely,

Al Jaeger
Secretary of State

This is the ranking of all third party or Independent candidates who ran for the U.S. Senate in the year 2002 throughout the United States.

Independent	James Germalic	Oklahoma	65,056
Libertarian	Steve Burgauer	Illinois	57,382
INP	Jim Moore	Minnesota	45,139
Libertarian	Scot Jameson	Texas	35,538
Libertarian	Sean Haugh	North Carolina	33,807
Libertarian	Dan Fitzgerald	Oregon	29,979
Libertarian	Claude Thomas	Georgia	26,981
Green Party	Roy Williams	Texas	25,051
Green Party	Ted Glick	New Jersey	24,308
Green Party	Eric Borregard	Michigan	23,931
Conservative	Lon Mabon	Oregon	21,703
Other	Douglas Campbell	Colorado	21,547
Libertarian	Rick Stanley	Colorado	20,776
Libertarian	Jeff Allen	Alabama	20,234
Libertarian	Tamara Mallay	Missouri	18,345
Green Party	Jim Sykes	Alaska	16,608
Reform Party	John Mangopoulous	Michigan	12,831
Libertarian	Elizabeth Macron	New Jersey	12,558
Green Party	Daniel Roman	Missouri	10,465
Libertarian	Stan Jones	Montana	10,420
Independent	Patrick Landry	Louisiana	10,417
NLP	Doug Dern	Michigan	10,366
Green Party	Ray Tricomo	Minnesota	10,119
Libertarian	Ken Blevens	New Hampshire	9,835
Libertarian	Donovan Bramwell	Idaho	9,354
Constitution	Ted Adams	South Carolina	8,202

Green Party	Bob Kelleher	Montana	7,653
Libertarian	John Graziano	Nebraska	7,423
Other	John Heckman	Colorado	7,140
Independent	John Hooker	Tennessee	6,942
AKI	Jim Dore	Alaska	6,724
Libertarian	Victor Kucher	South Carolina	6,648
NJC	Norman Wahner	New Jersey	6,404
Independent	Wesley Baker	Tennessee	6,155
Independent	Connie Gammon	Tennessee	5,349
Independent	Phil Chase	Nebraska	5,066
Independent	James Lemann	Louisiana	3,863
Libertarian	Kurt Evans	South Dakota	3,070
Socialist	Gregory Pason	New Jersey	2,702
Independent	Gary Robbins	Louisiana	2,617
Libertarian	Leonard Karpinski	Alaska	2,354
Independent	Karl Davidson	Tennessee	2,276
Constitution	Miro Kovatchevich		2,254
Independent	Basel Marceaux	Tennessee	1,170
Independent	H. Gary Keplinger	Tennessee	1.099
Independent	Maurice Barros	Delaware	994
Libertarian	Raymond Buranello	Delaware	922
Write-in	Gary Cooper	Colorado	596
NLP	Robert Mattson	Delaware	350

With 97% of the vote in,the gap between my 65, votes and Timothy Harthans. 10,764 and Richard Moores 8,408 votes is enormous, and they would come nowhere close, with 100% of the vote counted. Since Iowa is the only state that cannot give a final tally, the 97% vote total is here:

Green Party	Timothy Harthan	Iowa	10,864
Libertarian	Richard Moore	Iowa	8,408

over

Newcomer to N.D. politics sees things in black and white

By Patrick Springer
The Forum

James Richard Germalic is a man with a mission. And a deadline.

His mission: to be an independent, pro-life candidate in North Dakota for Congress on The Black/White Party ticket, his own creation.

His deadline: To appear on the Nov. 8 ballot, he must file petitions with 1,000 qualified North Dakota voters by 4 p.m. today.

Germalic was circulating petitions Thursday on the campus of North Dakota State University, continuing an effort begun the day before. He estimated that he and supporters, including Martin Wishnatsky, had gathered 500 to 700 signatures as of Thursday afternoon.

A native of ..., the 51-year-old Germalic acknowledges that he is a newcomer to North Dakota. He spent four or five weeks in Fargo and elsewhere in North Dakota earlier this summer, returning this week to make his bid for high office.

The Fargo address listed on his petitions, 827 4th St. N., is the Seneca Inn.

He spent the past four years in Washington as a pro-life activist, working, among other things, to try to prevent the confirmation of Supreme Court Justice Stephen Breyer and trying to advance a presidential bid by Oliver North, of Iran-Contra fame, whose pro-life credentials Germalic now questions.

But, Germalic argues, what he lacks in significant ties to North Dakota, he makes up in the black-and-white certitude of his staunch anti-abortion platform.

"I have something nobody else has – a message," he says, dismissing the abortion stances of Rep. Earl Pomeroy, D-N.D., and GOP challenger Gary Porter, a Minot businessman.

Germalic's Black/White Party platform has 10 planks, including the abolition of abortion, "no euthanasia," "no assassination" and "no homosexual behavior protected under anti-discrimination laws."

"These are the four unbending moral principles upon which there cannot be compromise, upon which the Black/White Party is founded," Germalic's platform says.

Among the other provisions are "no unjust and unnecessary wars," debt forgiveness for underdeveloped countries, a strong defense and foreign aid with "no strings attached." Germalic maintains that the U.S. economy has declined since 1973, the year of the Supreme Court decision Roe vs. Wade, upholding a woman's right to abortion.

Germalic, a Roman Catholic, says the economic decline, which he does not quantify, is the fulfillment of Old Testament curses found in Deuteronomy.

Germalic chose North Dakota because of its small population and because of Wishnatsky, an outspoken pro-life activist who was an unsuccessful candidate in Fargo's mayoral election in April. Also, the state's small population makes it comparatively economical and more accessible.

"I spent a lot of time discerning what would be a good place to run," he says. He hopes North Dakota will mark the beginning of a national pro-life political movement; he hopes someday his Black/White Party will field candidates in all 50 states.

"My criticism of the pro-life movement is they don't do enough about politics," Germalic says. "What good does it do to have all these abortion-clinic protests? It's OK to protest, but after awhile it's, 'I've heard it before.' It gets old."

Wishnatsky says he supports Germalic because of his rigid anti-abortion stance and moral views based on biblical values. He says Germalic's goal to create an independent political party is "daunting" and "a greater vision than anything I've had."

"I'll try to help him as much as I can," Wishnatsky said Thursday. "We'll see what happens tomorrow."

from The FARGO Forum, Friday Sept 9, 1994

James Germalic is the only pro-life candidate on the ballot at the federal level in North Dakota.

There is only one way to end abortion. That is through politics. The Republicans have stopped fighting abortion. When Bush put Souter on the Supreme Court and started the "Big Tent" policy, it was all over. The Republicans have voted for Ginsburg and Breyer.

What is needed is a new pro-life party. That is what I am about doing. At this point nothing else will work. Also, there is only one lesson that one needs to learn about politics.

In 1968 we were just a bunch of inexperienced college students. We were bused to Jamestown, New York. Lyndon Johnson was President. He had the incumbency, the media, the organization, and the money. We had nothing. Zero. We lived in private homes and canvassed door to door. We won and Johnson lost. David won and Goliath lost. These same inexperienced youth flooded New Hampshire. Senator McCarthy got 42% of the vote. Robert Kennedy entered the race and Johnson withdrew. Some inexperienced college students--because they were willing to go door to door forced the President of the United States to withdraw.

In Haiti people came to Aristide 2 weeks before the election and asked him to run for President. He ran and won the election with 70% of the vote--a Catholic priest!

I, James Germalic, am the only pro-life candidate. The other 4: Conrad, Pomeroy, Porter, and Clayburgh (to my knowledge) are pro-abortion. Whether I win or not depends entirely on you. If you have the zeal of the McCarthy kids, I win. If not, I lose.

What I have encountered is what Jesus encountered in the parable of the wedding feast. Excuses. As far as I know I am the only one who has a strategy to end abortion. That is to put a pro-life President in the White House in 1996. Otherwise we will have what we had in '92: three--Bush, Clinton and Perot who were pro-choice.

Note: With the fall of Communism, the Holy Spirit had me change "a strong defense" to sufficiency

Speaking a Word:

Stranraer, Scotland, July 1998. In a dream: There was a large university. There was a sidewalk. Alot of students were on it. One young student about 22 years old with a worried look on his face said twice, . . .

There is going to be a world war!

Then the scene changed and it showed a map of the area west of Russia.

The message is . . .

Obama will pick a fight with Putin and cause a world war! Back off!

I find that I come across things at the exact time I need them. It's called Divine Providence. It's so interesting, the timing of when I found this dream among my things. For I was watching a concert by Yitzchak Perlman, the virtuoso violinist. This film was made in Russia after the Iron Curtain fell. Under Communism the Jews had been forbidden to leave Russia. Then, because of the suffering and sacrifices made by dissidents like Natan Sharansky and other Jewish "Refuseniks" the door gradually opened and then the Iron Curtain fell and Jews flooded into Israel. Perlman said he could have come to Russia with his American passport, but wanted to come back as a Jew invited by Russia to perform with the Israeli Philharmonic on his Israeli passport. (All Jews can have dual citizenship, both American, in Perlman's case, and Israeli.) He said when they played Hatikvah, the Jewish National Anthem, it was so emotional for him he cried backstage for one-half hour. He noted history passed before his eyes. How nice it is to be welcome in a place where once you were not welcome. I go through this in the hope that the reader will take note that the American and Russian people have no grudge against eachother. People over the world want peace. In fact, the U.S. and Russia were allies in defeating Germany in World War II. Obama and Putin will someday be gone, but the people will remain.

Yitzchak Perlman notes that music is the international language and relates how warm the reception was for him. His concerts were mobbed.

So while I was watching this, Nori came across a pile of papers and was sorting them out, watching the concert itself when she found the dream in a vision, which I describe above and go on to explain its significance.

When we found this vision in a dream it was in July of 2014. The crisis in the Ukraine was going full blast. I decided to send this dream along with the message . . .

Obama is going to pick a fight with Putin and start a world war

. . . to the Senators and House members to avert what could possibly be a world war.

It was almost a super-human effort we made, by God's grace, to get this message to the Senate and Congress. We started by mailing literally hundreds of messages to Congress. Next we drove to Washington, D.C. because we were acutely aware of Congress's time schedule, as the August recess was fast approaching. When we arrived in Washington, we mailed out another 50 messages.

In addition to the vision in a dream, the Lord had given me a date, August 7.* I communicated the date, August 7, (2014), along with the vision in the dream, saying something significant would happen on that day. Something related to the message, which is of a world war.

It was because of this date that we went to Washington, D.C. for the Senate and Congress were slated to go on recess for all of August and part of September.

When we got to Washington both the Senate and House were still in session. The date, August 7, would arrive after they left on recess. We wanted them to stay in session.

Once in Washington, I started with the Hart Senate Office Building. I got many messages out, going from office to office. We also got many messages out in the Dirksen and Russell Senate Office Buildings.

Then we mailed out another 60 messages to House members. We were very pressed for time but we had an intervention by God, for amidst loud boos from Congress, Congress was held in session for another day due to the 70,000 children arriving at the southern border with Mexico. It gave us just the extra amount of time we needed to get out the message.

It was a miracle!

* This time, Nov. 2015, after I found the vision in a dream, again, sorting out a box, going to sleep on Nov. 19, 2015, I dreamed of former President Harry Truman. I had just written this message. It's remarkable, for I never even thought of him. Yet, the connection between the vision in the dream and Harry Truman is as clear as could be. As he is the President that ordered the atomic bombs to be dropped on Nagasaki and Hiroshima. There are many other Presidents I could have dreamt of, in fact I mentioned a few here.

The U.S. should not exacerbate them by supporting division between Russia and the Ukraine. These wounds are historical and in the memory of the people of both Russia and the Ukraine. The Ukrainians initially saw Germany (World War II) as liberating them from the Communist yoke. But they came to see the Nazis as wild beasts aimed at their destruction. Yet, the Russians call Ukrainians "Nazis" because of their initial backing of the Nazis when they came into Russia.

On the other hand, Stalin's collectivization of the Ukraine caused the starvation and deportation to the Siberian Gulag in what is estimated as millions of Ukrainians. Giving cause to the Ukrainians' hatred of the Russians.

As Secretary of State under Nixon, Kissinger gave Russia the U.S.'s word that NATO wouldn't go to the border of Russia. There is a reason for it. The U.S. went wild when Russian missiles were put in Cuba for it only takes 5 to 15 minutes to hit Washington, D.C. Hard to shoot down. Putting our missiles or supporting Poland, Latvia, etc. to have missiles as part of NATO near the Russian border would have the same effect. They could reach Moscow in 5 to 15 minutes and be difficult to shoot down.

The Bible itself is full of divine interventions, many in the form of dreams. Joseph was told to flee to Egypt with the Child Jesus to avoid Herod who was after the Child's life:

> When they had departed, behold, the angel of the Lord appeared to Joseph in a dream and said, "Rise, take the Child and His mother, flee to Egypt and stay there until I tell you. Herod is going to search for the Child to destroy Him." (Mt. 2:13)

A vision in a dream is a charismatic gift to be used for good! I have warned in the past, and you shun or mock this gift at the nation's peril. This isn't the first time the Lord has graced me with visions and dreams. Continually I get the scripture:

> But this is the plague with which Yahweh will strike all the nations that have fought against Jerusalem. Their flesh will moulder while they are still standing on their feet, their eyes will rot in their sockets, their tongues will rot in their mouths. (Zechariah 14:12)

This is a biblical description of nuclear warfare. The heat precedes the wind from the blast. So that if you took 60 frames a second on a camera, in a split second you would see the heat come and incinerate the flesh, then the wind from the nuclear explosion follows and knocks down the skeleton. So for that split second, while the skeleton is standing you'd see the flesh incinerate, which is a description of nuclear warfare.

ROGER COHEN

The Trump Possibility

Donald Trump is a thug. He's a thug who talks gibberish, and lies, and cheats, and has issues, to put it mildly, with women. He's lazy and limited and he has an attention span of a nanosecond. He's a "gene believer" who thinks he has "great genes" and considers the German blood, of which he is proud, "great stuff." Mexicans and Muslims, by contrast, don't make the cut.

He's managed to bring penis size and menstrual cycles and the eating habits of a former Miss Universe into the debate for the highest office in the land. He's mocked and mimicked the handicapped and the pneumonia-induced malaise of Hillary Clinton. His intellectual interests would not fill a safe-deposit box at Trump Tower.

There's more ingenuity to his hairstyle than any of his rambling pronouncements. His political hero is Vladimir Putin, who has perfected what John le Carré once called the "classic, timeless, all-Russian, barefaced whopping lie."

This is a man who likes to strut and gloat. He's such a great businessman he declared a loss of $916 million on his 1995 tax return, a loss so huge the tax software used by his accountant choked at the amount, which had to be corrected manually.

His cohorts, including the former New York mayor Rudy Giuliani, reckon this makes Trump a "genius" because he could offset the loss against many millions of dollars of income for years afterward and perhaps pay not a dime in taxes. All of which did a lot of good for the United States of America and all the working stiffs who did not know that losing about a billion dollars is a financial masterstroke.

And this man, with the support of tens of millions of Americans, is a hairbreadth from the Oval Office.

I am shocked — yes, shocked! — that Trump's burbling about the Iran nuclear deal in the first presidential debate has received little attention. He called it "the worst deal I think I've ever seen negotiated," before suggesting "Iran has power over North Korea" and should use it, before saying Iran had been given $400 million and then $1.7 billion and then $150 billion, as well as saying, "this is one of the worst deals ever made by any country in history!"

Of course, Trump has no idea what is in the agreement, since that would require reading it, and so he would not have an inkling that it has slashed and ring-fenced Iran's nuclear capacity until 2030, reversing the Islamic Republic's steady accumulation of centrifuges, and has also opened the way for Boeing to sell Iran 80 commercial passenger aircraft — just the sort of job-creating deal Trump professes to like.

Protect the Oval Office from a thug who lies and cheats.

And this man, whose meanness and petulance and childlike inadequacies have been on display for more than a year now, may become president next month.

How is this possible?

It is possible because spectacle and politics have merged and people no longer know fact from fiction or care about the distinction. It is possible because fear has entered people's lives and that fear is easily manipulated. It is possible because technology has created anxiety-multipliers such as have never been known before.

It is possible because America is a country living with the dim dissatisfaction of two wars without victory and the untold trillions spent on them. It is possible because a very large number of people want to give the finger to the elites who brought the crash of 2008 and rigged the global system and granted themselves impunity.

It is possible because of growing inequality and existential dread, especially among the white losers from globalization who know minorities will be the majority in the United States by midcentury. It is possible because both major parties have abandoned the working class.

It is possible because a lot of Americans feel that the incumbent in the White House has undersold the United States, diminished its distinctive and exceptional nature, talked down its power, and so diluted its greatness and abdicated its responsibility for the well-being of the free world.

It is possible because the identity politics embraced by urban, cosmopolitan liberals has provoked an inevitable backlash among those who think white lives matter, too. It is possible because Trump speaks to the basest but also some of the most ineradicable traits of human beings — their capacity for mob anger, their racist resentments, their cruelty, their lust, their search for scapegoats, their insecurities — and promises a miraculous makeover.

It is possible because the Clinton family has been in the White House and cozy with the rich and close to the summit of a discredited political establishment for a quarter-century now and, to people who want change or bridle at dynastic privilege, that makes Hillary Clinton an unattractive candidate. It is possible because history demonstrates there is no limit to human folly or the dimensions of the disasters humanity can bring on itself.

Yes, it is possible. There is still time to stop a man who keeps stooping lower. That time is now. □

Clinton Seizing on Trump ... Embodies 'Rigged System'

The New York Times Oct. 4, 2016 A17

Presses Issue in Ohio As She Tries to Woo Blue-Collar Voters

By AMY CHOZICK

TOLEDO, Ohio — Hillary Clinton seized on revelations that Donald J. Trump could have avoided paying income taxes for up to 18 years to unleash a new torrent of criticism of her opponent, saying he pretended to help working Americans even as he personified "the same rigged system he claims he will change."

"He abuses his power, games the system, puts his own interests ahead of the country's," Mrs. Clinton told a crowd of more than 1,100 gathered for a rally on Monday at the Downtown Toledo Train Station. "It's always Trump first, and everyone else last."

Mrs. Clinton unleashed the attack during a previously planned economic speech in Ohio, where she has struggled to connect with white working-class voters, who have seen huge job losses amid the decades-long flow of manufacturing plants overseas.

But the revelation on Saturday night that Mr. Trump declared a $916 million loss on his 1995 income tax returns and could have avoided paying federal taxes for years added a new impetus and an extra dose of fire and brimstone to Mrs. Clinton's economic pitch.

She wasted little time in tying Mr. Trump's tax practices to her broader economic message of reining in corporate excess and alleviating income inequality.

"Toledo is the kind of place where people work hard, look after one another and, yes, pay their taxes," Mrs. Clinton said at the start of her remarks here.

And noting the defense Mr. Trump's allies have put forth — that his handling of his taxes reflects his "genius" — Mrs. Clinton said, "What kind of a genius loses a billion dollars in a single year?"

She criticized Mr. Trump's reluctance to release his tax returns — as every other major recent presidential candidate has done — and mentioned the article in The New York Times revealing his tax records. "While millions of American families, including mine and yours, were working hard and paying their fair share," Mrs. Clinton said, "it seems he was contributing nothing to our nation."

Several hours later at a campaign rally in Pueblo, Colo., Mr. Trump said that he had used the tax code "brilliantly," and that his mastery of it meant he was best qualified to overhaul a deeply flawed system.

"I understand the tax laws better than almost anyone, which is why I am the one who can truly fix them," he said.

Mrs. Clinton wove her attack on Mr. Trump into an address in which she also criticized other corporate actors, including top executives at Wells Fargo after employees opened roughly 1.5 million bank accounts and applied for 565,000 credit cards that may not have been authorized by customers, according to regulators. The bank paid $185 million in fines, including a $100 million penalty from the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, the largest penalty the agency has ever issued.

Mrs. Clinton seemed upbeat at the rally, her first since the revelations Saturday about Mr. Trump's taxes. She boasted of being endorsed by LeBron James, who led the Cleveland Cavaliers to the N.B.A. championship and grew up in Akron, Ohio, which Mrs. Clinton was also visiting Monday. "I hope to be elected president, but I know here in Ohio, LeBron will always be the king," she said.

A political attack woven into a broader economic message.

Mrs. Clinton's speech fit into a broader economic philosophy, endorsed by her closest economic advisers and often referred to as inclusive capitalism, that calls for corporations to put less emphasis on short-term profits and invest more in employees, the environment and communities.

On Monday, Mrs. Clinton reiterated her proposal to raise the minimum wage, strengthen labor unions and offer tax incentives to companies that share profits with employees. She also unveiled two new policies to protect workers, including curbing the use of "forced arbitration" clauses in contracts that prohibit workers and consumers from bringing legal action against companies that have harmed them.

"We are not going to let corporations like Wells Fargo use these fine-print 'gotchas' to escape accountability," Mrs. Clinton said.

And she took aim at pharmaceutical companies, against the backdrop of a backlash against Mylan, which repeatedly raised the price of EpiPens, leading to widespread consumer outrage, particularly among parents whose children rely on the lifesaving injections after allergic reactions.

"We should slap penalties on companies trying to cheat people who need these drugs," Mrs. Clinton said.

The campaign stops in Toledo and Akron marked the first time Mrs. Clinton had visited Ohio since Labor Day, as polls show the state's white working-class voters gravitating toward Mr. Trump. Fifty percent of likely voters in Ohio preferred Mr. Trump, compared with 46 percent for Mrs. Clinton, in a CNN/ORC poll last month.

Early voting begins next week in Ohio, and the Clinton campaign has roughly 300 staff members and an army of volunteers working to register voters. On Tuesday, former President Bill Clinton plans to embark on a two-day bus tour through the state largely focused on kitchen-table economic issues.

Recent polls have shown that Mrs. Clinton has gained an advantage on Mr. Trump when voters are asked who will best handle the economy. Ever since the Democratic nominating fight, Mrs. Clinton has called out specific corporate players for criticism, trying to demonstrate to voters how she would approach corporate misbehavior as president.

The same day Mrs. Clinton fought to present herself as a champion of hard-hit Rust Belt voters, Mr. Trump continued his attacks on the Clinton family's finances. In the years since Mr. Clinton left the White House, the Clintons have amassed in excess of $125 million delivering paid speeches to Wall Street and other special interests.

"Hillary Clinton hasn't made an honest dollar in her entire life," he said in Pueblo, contrasting his private-sector experience to her profiting off her career of public service by making speeches.

"Hillary Clinton left the White House dead broke," he said. "Now she and her husband have made more than $200 million without building a company or creating a single thing of value."

Although the data has shown steady job growth under President Obama, voters in states like Ohio have not felt like the economy has come back, said Nina Turner, a former state senator from Ohio who supported Senator Bernie Sanders of Vermont in the Democratic primary race.

"They feel like, 'My quality of life hasn't gotten much better, and I need somebody to speak to those issues,'" Ms. Turner said. "Instead," she said of the two major-party nominees, "we have two wealthy people battling back and

Colombia Peace Deal Fell To Turnout and Old Scars

From Page A1

Now, Colombia's humbled leaders are asking: What happened?

Lulled by the polls, the government failed to drive Colombians to the ballot box, resulting in anemic turnout in places that favored the deal. President Juan Manuel Santos had staked his legacy on achieving peace, but his approval ratings were so low that his endorsement may have actually hurt the deal's chances.

Then came Hurricane Matthew, which drenched the northern coasts supportive of the peace deal, leading many to stay home.

But for many others, the reason the deal failed was an emotional one. The agreement had always been a tug of war between peace and justice, and in the end, the demand for justice won.

"Sometimes, it even seemed like they knew they got off easy," Diana Hurtado, 37, a dog walker in the capital, Bogotá, said of the rebels. "When you see photos from Havana, they are laughing."

In some ways, Mr. Santos may have been a victim of the country's success against the rebels. Before running for president, he served as defense minister, pursuing the FARC in every corner of the country and even into Ecuador, where he launched a raid that killed a rebel leader in 2008.

As president, Mr. Santos said that those successes, and his continued military campaigns against the FARC, had created the pressure that pushed the rebels to the negotiating table and kept them there.

So why, many Colombians demanded, did the government accept a deal in which most rank-and-file FARC fighters would be able to walk free? Even worse, they argued, was the fact that those who committed war crimes would face reduced sentences under the agreement.

Government negotiators repeatedly insisted that the rebels would leave the discussions before accepting harsher terms. The talks dragged on for four years in Havana. Even the transitional justice system in which FARC leaders would either be granted amnesty or given reduced sentences took 18 months to sell to the rebels.

Humberto de la Calle, Mr. Santos's chief negotiator, said in an interview before the vote that it would be impossible to negotiate a deal to include prison time for FARC fighters, a demand made by many of the deal's opponents.

Lulled by inaccurate polls, many who backed the agreement stayed home.

"It's honestly the worst option — it's not possible," he said. "I don't think the FARC will say, 'O.K., no, I'll accept prison.' That's a joke."

Adam Isacson, an analyst at the Washington Office on Latin America, said the rebels had made concessions, too, including dropping longstanding demands for radical land and economic reforms as a condition for peace. They also agreed to a six-month timetable to abandon arms, despite concerns that they might be hunted by paramilitary groups.

"In the FARC's view, they gave up a lot of ground," Mr. Isacson said.

Pollsters struggled to explain why the result had defied the forecasts. César Valderrama, the director of Datexco, who had predicted a double-digit win for the agreement, said the "no" vote had risen in reaction to the celebratory signing ceremony that took

ARIANA CUBILLOS/ASSOCIATED PRE

Opponents of the deal between rebels and the Colombian government celebrated in Bogotá on Sunday as voters rejected it.

craft that apparently backfired on the government.

Many "yes" supporters also believed the referendum was coasting to victory, so they did not feel the need to vote, Mr. Valderrama said.

"They already felt like winners, and as winners, it wasn't necessary to vote," he said.

Even those who backed the "no" campaign said they were stunned by the result.

"I always said that the difference wasn't going to be much, but I never thought we were going to win," said Santiago Valencia González, a right-wing Colombian congressman representing the northern Antioquia region, which voted against the agreement.

Mr. Valencia said the Colombian right would begin pressuring the [Santos administration for an op]portunity for Santos to listen to us," he said in an interview in Medellín.

Some who voted for the deal said they liked the idea of peace a lot better than the specifics of the agreement. José Barbosa, the owner of a bakery in northern Bogotá, recalled fleeing a region of central Colombia because his neighbor's sons were being recruited by rebels and he feared that the same would happen to his children.

But Mr. Barbosa, 59, said he had been pragmatic at the ballot box. "They got too many concessions, but the rebels aren't going to give up arms if their backs are against the wall," he said.

Voters like Ms. Solano felt differently.

When she was an infant, armed [rebels de]manded food and other provisions. When her family refused, they were driven from their land, and the rebels stole their cattle. Years earlier, her grandfather had also been evicted by the rebels.

"My parents can't talk about this without tears," she said.

Ms. Solano eventually began studying graphic design here in Medellín, a right-wing stronghold of Álvaro Uribe, the influential former president who led the charge against the peace deal and described Mr. Santos, his former defense minister, as a "traitor" for granting amnesty to FARC fighters.

She now works as a cashier at a sprawling restaurant that overlooks the city from the mountains. Before the referendum, she took a 10-hour bus ride to cast her vote in [the same town as] her family.

After voting on Sunday morn[ing], the entire family, more tha[n] 50 people, gathered at her grand[]father's home in the city of Buca[]ramanga. As they discussed ho[w] they had voted, only one of the[m,] Ms. Solano's brother, said he ha[d] cast a ballot in favor of the peac[e] deal.

After the gathering broke u[p,] Ms. Solano took the long bus rid[e] back to Medellín. She learned th[e] outcome when her mother calle[d] her in the morning.

"I don't think it's a reason t[o] make you happy," she said. "[I] think it's a reason to think abou[t] things."

She said she was not sure wha[t] would happen next between th[e] government and the rebels.

"We don't know whether to h[...]

Nicholas Casey reported from New York. Julia Symmes Cobb contrib-

In Colombia, they had a war that ripped the country apart that lasted 52 years. Presidential candidates were assassinated; Supreme Court judges, all of them, kid-napped, prosecutors killed. A half century of war. Then they took polls that con-tinually said the Peace Agreement would be passed. The polls lulled the govern-ment into complacency. So the government had the Peace Treaty signed before the peo-ple voted. Also, the government made no attempt to get the people to vote. The government lost. Fifty-two years of war--a Peace Treaty down the drain--no little thing, is it? Yet, this Josh (I don't know his last name) said I should get 10% in the polls. Who are these people, this Josh and this Matthew Olien. Did they ever get one vote? Who do they represent? Yet, they dare to sit in judgement on a candidate like me who went out and fulfilled the law and got the 1000 necessary signatures to get on the ballot. That's not easy! Let them go out and get one signature! Let them ask someone, a stranger, on the street for a signature for anything! Call this Josh, this Matthew despicable, reprehensible! No award does justice to the damage they do to me, the people of North Dakota, and to democracy. Only Hillary and Trump, which they have caused by their actions to be the only choices, the only faces and voices you see on the debates, are worse than them!

Certificate of Service

A true and correct copy of the foregoing Complaint and Request for Injunction was sent via regular U.S. mail, postage prepaid, to the following parties on ____________________________.

Prairie Public Television
207 N. 5th St.
P.O. Box 3240
Fargo, North Dakota 58108-3240

AARP (American Association of Retired Persons)
North Dakota State Office
Suite 125
107 W. Main Ave.
Bismarck, ND 58501

James Germalic

James Germalic
Black/White party headquarters
429 Main St., Suite 201 (#5)
La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601-4093
(216) 269-2390